



United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest
Service

Pacific
Northwest
Region

June 2008



Environmental Assessment

Misery Lake Timber and Fuels Management Projects

Newport-Sullivan Lake Ranger Districts
Colville National Forest
Pend Oreille County
Washington



A Healthy Forest Restoration Act Project

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Misery Lake Timber and Fuels Management Projects

LEAD FEDERAL AGENCY: U.S.D.A. – Forest Service
Colville National Forest
765 South Main
Federal Building
Colville, WA 99114

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: *Rick Brazell*
Forest Supervisor

CONTACT:
John Buehler, District Ranger, or
Amy Dillon, District Environmental Coordinator
Newport-Sullivan Lake Ranger Districts
315 N. Warren
Newport, WA 99156
(509) 447-7300

ABSTRACT:

The approved alternative of the Final Environmental Impact Statement, Land and Resource Management Plan, Colville National Forest (December 29, 1988), including Regional Forester's Forest Plan Amendment No. 2, and the Inland Native Fish Strategy (INFISH) Environmental Assessment (EA), establishes Forest Management Direction for the Colville National Forest in the form of Goals and Objectives. This project, if approved, would meet some of these Goals and Objectives. This project is an Authorized Hazardous Fuels Reduction Project in accordance with the Healthy Forest Restoration Act (HFRA). The project focuses on hazardous fuels reduction, improving forest health, and vegetative restoration. This project is designed to address the intents of the Cohesive Strategy and the HFRA by reducing hazardous fuel levels on National Forest lands near the community of Blueslide. Blueslide was identified as a community at risk in the Wildland Urban Interface Communities Within the Vicinity of Federal Lands That are at High Risk From Wildfire, (Federal Register, 2001), by the Pend Oreille County Community Wildfire Protection Plan. The Pend Oreille County Community Wildfire Protection Plan identified Blueslide as a community at risk based on guidance found in *Handbook for Wildland-Urban Interface Communities, Preparing a Community Wildfire Protection Plan* (March 2004). Two alternatives were developed including a no action alternative.

Alternatives:

A. This alternative is a "no action" alternative; however, present planned management activities would continue.

B. This alternative meets the goals of the Pend Oreille County Wildland-Urban Interface Wildfire Mitigation Plan by managing National Forest lands adjacent to the communities around Blue Slide and along the Bonneville Power Administration power line to reduce the area of WUI

land burned and losses experienced because of wildfires where these fires threaten communities in the wildland-urban interface and prioritize the protection of people, structures, infrastructure, and ecosystems that contribute to their way of life and the sustainability of the local and regional economy. This alternative would treat approximately 6,624 acres with prescribed fire and mechanical fuel treatments. Alternative B also meets the multiple-use objectives of the Forest Plan, Regional Forester's Forest Plan Amendment No. 2, and INFISH EA by achieving silvicultural goals through commercial (timber harvest) and noncommercial (including prescribed fire) vegetation management. This alternative would treat about 2,815 acres using vegetation management tools, including about 643 acres of regeneration timber harvest. Alternative B builds approximately 4.8 miles of new road, and decommissions about 8 miles of existing roads. The change in open roads would be a net decrease of 3.2 miles of National Forest System roads.

List of Acronyms

AIRFA	American Indian Religious Freedom Act	MA	Forest Plan management area
BE	Biological Evaluation (plants, fish, wildlife)	MIS	Management Indicator Species (wildlife)
BMP	Best Management Practice (water)	MMBF	Million board feet (timber volume)
BPA	Bonneville Power Administration	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act of 1969
BPE	Biophysical Environment (vegetation)	NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
CCF	Hundred cubic feet (timber volume)	NFS	National Forest System
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	NRHP	National Register of Historic Places (heritage)
CR	County Road	OHV	Off-highway Vehicle
CT	Refers to standard provisions in the Forest Service timber sale contract	PM	Particulate Matter (air quality)
CTL	Cut-to-length (a mechanized logging system)	PNV	Present Net Value (economics)
DBH	Diameter breast height (a method of describing a tree's size)	PQA	Product Quality Adjustment (financial analysis)
EA	Environmental Assessment	RHCA	Riparian Habitat Conservation Area (fish)
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement	RMO	Riparian Management Objective (fish)
FM	Fuel Model	ROS	Recreation Opportunity Spectrum (recreation)
FOFEM	First Order Fire Effects Model (air quality)	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office (cultural resources)
FPA	Forest Practice Applications (WA state land)	SUP	Special Use Permit
FR	Forest Road	TEA	Transactional Evidence Appraisal (financial analysis)
FRCC	Fire Regime Condition Class	TES	Threatened, Endangered and Sensitive (wildlife, plants)
FSM	Forest Service Manual	TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load (hydrology)
FY	Fiscal Year	TSC	Timber Sale Contract
GIS	Geographic Information System (computerized mapping and analysis software)	USAF	United States Air Force
HFRA	Healthy Forest Restoration Act of 2003	USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
HRV	Historic Range of Variability (vegetation)	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (wildlife)
ICBEMP	Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project	VQO	Visual Quality Objective (scenery)
ID or IDT	Interdisciplinary Team	WADNR	WA State Department of Natural Resources
INFISH	Inland Native Fish Strategy (fish)	WUI	Wildland Urban Interface (fire)
KV	Knutson-Vandenberg Act of 1930 (money collected from timber sale purchasers to conduct certain kinds of improvement work in timber sale areas)		
LRMP	Land and Resources Management Plan also known as the Forest Plan		

Definitions

Commercial thinning

The removal of a portion of the trees in even-aged or uneven-aged stands to control stand spacing and favor desired trees. The objectives are to remove trees that exhibit poor form, vigor, or pose a significant risk of insect or disease mortality; reduce competition; and to increase growing space for the development of large trees. A fully stocked stand with 40+ residual trees larger than 6" in diameter would result from this treatment.

Commercial thin/shelterwood

The stands would be a mix of commercial thinning and shelterwood. Portions of the stand that are stagnant and would not readily move towards a late structural stage without regenerating the area would receive a shelterwood harvest. The remainder of the stand would be thinned. Within the Misery Lake project area, those areas proposed for shelterwood harvest are primarily lodgepole pine pockets that would not respond to a release (thinning) treatment.

Selection harvest

Selection of individual trees or small groups of trees to retain a stand with high forest cover while simultaneously providing for an orderly development of trees with a range of ages. Generally uneven-aged management. The result of this treatment is a fully stocked stand that exhibits a variety of stocking and may have small openings created where a new crop of seedlings will become established.

Selection harvest/shelterwood

The stands would receive a mix of selection and shelterwood harvest. Portions of the stand that are stagnant and would not readily move towards a late structural stage without regenerating the area would receive a shelterwood harvest. The remainder of the stand would be treated through selection harvest. Within the Misery Lake project area, those areas proposed for shelterwood harvest are primarily lodgepole pine pockets that would not respond to a release (thinning) treatment.

Shelterwood regeneration harvest

All trees would be harvested except those needed for seed, wildlife, and shelter for the stand-to-be. Residual stand retains 12-30+ trees/acre in the overstory. Generally, the largest trees available would be left as green-tree replacements for snags. This prescription is mostly used on dense, stagnant stands to produce a new stand of early seral species (seedlings) capable of growing toward late structural stage.

Precommercial thinning

Treatment in plantations that do not have enough commercial value to treat with a harvest prescription, but would benefit by thinning out small-diameter trees, allowing residual trees to grow and increasing overall stand vigor. Cut trees would be bucked,

lopped, and scattered on the site. Generally the stands would be thinned on a 12 feet by 12 feet spacing where topography and economics would allow for a future commercial thinning in 20 to 40 years. In stands that a future commercial thin is not considered to be economically feasible then the average PCT spacing should be increased to approximately 14ft by 14ft. A mix of different species including hardwoods is preferred after treatment with a priority on leaving the healthiest trees with greater than 40% live crown ratios and removing trees with damage or disease evident.

Fuels/fire

A method to reduce fuel loadings to historic conditions. Treatments may include mechanical thinning from below and fuel breaks. The mechanical treatments are designed to prepare the sites for prescribed fire.

Underburn

A method of reintroducing fire on the landscape. This treatment will help reduce fuel loadings to historic conditions. Underburning will help reduce undesirable competing vegetation, including conifers and brush. Also a benefit of underburning will be to make browse species more palatable to big game by stimulating new sprouts. Underburning will also help in raising the lower crown height by reducing the lower live limbs, resulting in a lowered risk of surface or ground fires climbing into the crown of trees. **Jackpot burning** is a type of underburn designed to consume concentrations of forest fuels.

Mechanical fuel

These treatments are being done in commercial treatment stands to reduce fuels to historic conditions and create planting spots for regeneration. Treatments may include machine/grapple piling, noncommercial tree felling, and hand piling. Mechanical fuel treatments may also prepare the stands for future underburning, or where prescribed fire will likely result in losses to the residual overstory.

Mastication

Mastication is the process of grinding, shredding, or chopping surface and ladder fuel residue. This treatment can lower fuel bed depth, raise crown base height, and increase fuel-ground contact to promote decomposition. Mastication can be used in lieu of prescribed fire—either due to risk of escape, smoke concerns, or other management constraints.

Machine/Grapple Piling

The piling of slash in a harvest unit using a machine with a grapple arm for picking up slash. Slash is piled in open areas for burning when snow cover is sufficient to prevent fire spread. Allows for the burning of slash in a more controlled environment.

White Pine pruning

Treatment in plantations to reduce the risk of White Pine blister rust infection in the white pine saplings. Pruning would be done by hand using saws or pruning shears to remove the lower portion of the crown, generally up to 4.5+ feet high. This lower portion of the live crown has been found to have the highest infection risk.

Planting

Artificial reforestation to regenerate a stand or interplant with natural regeneration. Planting would reintroduce species that may be absent or lacking in the stand due to past disturbances. Planting allows the FS to plant a 1 to 3 year old seedling on the site to help overcome the competition of brush or grasses. Planting helps to rapidly re-establish the next stand and move it towards the desired future condition. Relying on only natural regeneration can often be difficult and unsuccessful in re-establishing the desired mix of species on the site.

Table of Contents

CHAPTER I PURPOSE AND NEED	1
Introduction and Management Direction	1
Location	1
Purpose and Need	2
Summary of Needs	3
Management Area Guidelines	4
Proposed Action	4
SCOPING THE ISSUES	6
Collaboration	6
COMMENTS RECEIVED DURING SCOPING	8
ISSUES	9
Purpose and Need Objectives	9
DECISION NEEDED	10
CHAPTER II ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED	11
INTRODUCTION	11
Alternatives	11
1. Alternative A – No Action	11
Description of Proposed Project Design for Alternative B	13
Fire/Fuels	13
Vegetation Management (silviculture)	14
Noxious Weeds	14
Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species (TES)	15
Sensitive Plants	16
Roads	17
Fisheries	18
Water and Soil	18
Soils	20
Scenery Management	22
Recreation	22
Range Management	23
Heritage Resources	23
Special Uses	24
2. Alternative B (Proposed Action)	24
Request for an Alternative from the Public	27
MONITORING PLAN	28
POSSIBLE SALE AREA IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS	29
CHAPTER III EFFECTS OF IMPLEMENTATION	31
INTRODUCTION	31
Fire/Fuels (Purpose and Need Objective #1)	32
Air Quality	36
Silvicultural Treatments and Forest Health (Purpose and Need Objective #2)	38
Noxious Weeds	42
Management Indicator Species (MIS)	45
Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species (TES)	52
Sensitive Plants	53
Fish Habitat	55
Hydrology	62
Soils	65
Scenery Management	78
Recreation	80
Range Management	82
Heritage Resources	86
Special Uses and Easements	87

Transportation	89
Economics	90
Effects on American Indians	91
Effects on Consumers, Minority Groups, Women, Civil Rights and Environmental Justice	92
CHAPTER IV CONSULTATION WITH OTHERS	93
CHAPTER V LITERATURE AND DOCUMENTS CITED	95

Tables

Table 1. Comparison of Purpose and Need Objectives by Alternative	27
Table 2. Issue Comparison by Alternative	27
Table 3. Acres of Proposed Treatments by Alternative	28
Table 4. Fire Regime Condition Class Attributes	32
Table 5. Fire Regime Condition Class (FRCC) for The Misery Lake Analysis Area	34
Table 6. Crown Fire Potential for The Misery Lake Analysis Area—Comparison of Alternatives ..	35
Table 7. Noxious Weeds in The Misery Lake Analysis Area	42
Table 8. Existing Acres of Habitat Components on Big Game Winter Range (Management Areas 6 and 8) in The Misery Lake Analysis Area	46
Table 9. Acres of Habitat Components on Designated Deer Winter Range (MAs 6 and 8) by Alternative	47
Table 10. Summary of Effects to MIS and Land birds	49
Table 11. Summary of Effects to Threatened, Endangered, and Sensitive Species.	52
Table 12. Areas with Higher Levels of Detrimental Soil Conditions (DSC)	66
Table 13. Management Class Prescriptions	86
Table 14. Road Construction, Temporary Road Construction, Reconstruction, and Decommissioning	89
Table 15. Present Net Value (PNV) Benefits and Costs by Alternative For Timber Sale Portion (commercial treatments only) of Misery Lake Project	91
Table 16. Present Net Value Benefits and Costs by Alternative For Entire Misery Lake Project (commercial and noncommercial treatments combined)	91

Appendices

Appendix A – Treatments Proposed
Appendix B – Maps
Appendix C – Recent or Reasonably Foreseeable Activities
Appendix D – Biological Evaluation
Appendix E – Best Management Practices
Appendix F – Issue Tracking Worksheet